Initially, Applicant notes that the Examiner has taken no action with respect to claim 36. Claim 36 is dependent from claim 28. For the purposes of the Response, Applicant assumes that claim 36 stands rejected for the same reasons as applied to claim 28.

The present invention relates to an EL display device formed by a semiconductor element and, more specifically, to an EL display device containing a correction circuit for gamma correcting.

The Examiner cites <u>Yamada et al.</u> for disclosing, among other things, inputing an analog image to the EL element, a luminescent layer comprising a polymer organic material, and a memory for storing the data correcting. Applicant respectfully submits that none of these features are disclosed in <u>Yamada et al.</u> While <u>Yamada et al.</u> discloses an organic EL element in column 11, line 6, it does not disclose a polymer organic EL material.

The Examiner cites <u>Todokoro et al.</u> for disclosing a correcting means for gamma correcting. However, Applicant respectfully submits that <u>Todokoro et al.</u> only discloses an image display apparatus comprising correction means, which is not for gamma correction.

The Examiner contends that <u>Sunohara et al.</u> disclose a display color with luminance colors having a first pixel including a red luminescent layer, a second pixel including a green luminescent layer, and a third pixel including a blue luminescent layer. In contrast, the EL layer in the present invention is a self-luminous type. Although <u>Sunohara et al.</u> disclose a reflective direct-view type display, it is not a self-luminous type display. Accordingly, Applicant submits that the combination of <u>Yamada et al.</u>, <u>Todokoro et al.</u> and <u>Sunohara et al.</u> do not render the claimed invention obvious.

In his response to the arguments, the Examiner indicates that Applicant argues that Yamada et al. do not disclose the correcting means for gamma correcting the analog image

signal, but was unpersuaded with respect to the combination of <u>Yamada et al.</u> and <u>Todokoro et al.</u> However, Applicant contends that neither <u>Todokoro et al.</u> nor <u>Yamada et al.</u> teach a correcting means for gamma correcting the analog image signal.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance, and an early Office Action in this regard is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Stephen/B. Heller

Registration No.: 30,181

COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD. 200 West Adams Street, Suite 2850 Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312)236-8500